

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/829,559	04/22/2004	Asher Hazanchuk	ALT.P030 (A1252)	6357		
27296 LAWRENCE	7590 01/04/201 M. CHO	1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 214	4		DO, C	DO, CHAT C		
CHAMPAIGN	I, IL 61825		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2193			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/04/2011	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,559	HAZANCHUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chat C. Do	2193	

	Chat C. Do	2193	İ						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pipication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the pipication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time priords:								
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: It box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. MONTHS OF THE FINAL RESISTION. See MPEP 706.07(i).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fet have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and here corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.							
NOTE: <u>See below.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33			DTOL OOL						
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 101 rejection. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 5-26.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.						
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
See below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:									
	/Chat C. Do/ Primary Examiner Art II	n:t 0100							

Part 3(a): the applicant amended all independent claims by replacing certain terms as "from" with "associated with" and inserting the phrase "a number of bits in" in the stored memory wherein these amendment would raise new issues that would require further consideration and search in order to make final decision.

Part 11: the applicant argued in pages 8-9 for claim 1 that the cited reference fails to disclose the newly amended language claim 1 since the dimension of multiplication supported by the DSP supports multiplying all of the bits of the first and second number.

The examiner respectfully submits that the newly amended language of claim 1 would require further consideration and search prior making final decision since plurality of bits are associated with instead of getting directly from respective number; and wherein Bhandal does show the single multiplication as DSF for multiplying a set of bits of respective operands to yield the sub-product.